



COMMUNITY ECOLOGY
BIOL 4515/8515
FALL 2022

Syllabus

Time and Location: TR 3:30 pm – 4:45 pm, Cherry Emerson 204

Professor:

Dr. Lin Jiang
Cherry Emerson Building, room A112
Phone: 404-385-2514
Email: lin.jiang@biology.gatech.edu
Office hours: by appointment.

Course Objectives: This is a three-credit course suitable for both undergraduate and graduate students interested in learning more about community ecology beyond those covered in the sophomore-level General Ecology. We will examine species interactions and their roles in regulating the structure and dynamics of ecological communities. Classroom discussion of readings from the primary literature, including both classic and recent scientific articles, will be a major component of the course. The main goal of this course is to introduce you to important concepts and issues in community ecology; by the end of the course, you should have a basic understanding of the current knowledge on how ecological communities operate. Another goal of this course is to practice and refine your skills in critically reading and effectively presenting scientific papers.

Prerequisites: Either BIOS 2300 (Ecology) or BIOS 2310 (Problem-based Ecology), or permission of instructor.

Required Textbook: Community Ecology, 2nd edition, Peter Morin, 2011, Wiley-Blackwell. ISBN: 978-1-4051-2411-9.

Course Format: This course will include lectures given by the instructor, presentations given by the students, and class discussions. Class presentations and discussions of assigned journal articles will follow lectures on the same topic. You are required to read all the assigned readings, including selected chapters from the textbook and journal articles, and participate in class discussions. During the semester, you will present at least one assigned paper using PowerPoint and will be responsible for leading class discussions of these papers. The presentation should be approximately 15-20 minutes, and may include materials outside the assigned paper.

Grading Criteria: Your course grade will be based on three (for undergraduates) or four (for graduate students) activities.

First, 50% (40% for graduate students) of your grade will be determined by two take-home exams [mid-term exam: 20%; cumulative final exam: 30% (20% for graduate students)]. The exams will cover materials from the textbook as well as from the assigned journal articles. You will be given at least one week to schedule the exam, but will be required to complete it within one 3-hour span. You may refer to textbooks, course notes, and primary literature articles while

working on the exams, but are prohibited from obtaining information from the internet or discussing with your classmates.

Second, 20% of your grade will be determined by class presentation(s), which is graded by the instructor. Your presentation(s) should include (1) a general introduction of the topic addressed by the research article, (2) questions or hypotheses examined in the article, and why these questions/hypotheses are important, (3) a description of study systems and methodology, (4) results and their significance (i.e., how these results advance our understanding of the subject), and (5) a critique of the paper, that is, your own evaluation of the article (including both its strength and weakness). Good presentations also require effective presentation skills. Both criteria (content and presentation effectiveness) will be used to grade presentations. As a presenter, you should always strive for clarity given the limited amount of presenting time, and should, if appropriate, include other essential information outside the assigned paper (e.g., materials from other relevant papers) to help the audience better understand the topic. The presenter is also expected to lead class discussions on the presented article (e.g., by providing questions for the class to discuss) and answer questions from the audience. These activities will also be graded, forming part of your presentation grades.

Third, 30% (25% for graduate students) of your grade will be determined by class participation, which includes class attendance [15% (10% for graduate students)] and active involvement in class discussions (15%). The expectation is that you need to ask/answer at least one question during each paper discussion period. Student participation in paper discussion will be graded on a 0-3 scale (0-absent/silent, 1-tried to ask or answer questions, but the questions/answers are poor, 2-good attempt, 3-great answer/question).

Fourth, each graduate student needs to submit a research proposal that accounts for 15% of your grade. The proposal should have a maximum of 15 typed double-spaced pages. It should describe a hypothesis-driven research project in the area of Community Ecology, and should be organized into the following sections: “Summary”, “Objectives” or “Statement of the Problem”, “Background” or “Rationale and Significance” (where you provide a review of the relevant ecological literature and explain the significance and novelty of your proposed research), “Hypotheses” or “Research Questions”, “Research Approach” or “Experimental Plan” (where you provide details on your study system, experimental design, and data collection and analysis), and “Literature Cited”. Summary and bibliography are not included in the 15-page limit. Students are encouraged to discuss with the instructor about the topic of their proposals prior to working on them.

Grades will be assigned according to the following scale: 90-100 A, 80-89 B, 70-79 C, 60-69 D, below 60 F. The instructor reserves the right to change these standards based on class performance.

Attendance and policy on missing assignments and late exams: Students are expected to follow GT attendance policy (<http://www.catalog.gatech.edu/rules/4/>). Lecture attendance is highly recommended as lectures may cover materials outside the textbook. Class attendance is mandatory for all days when there is presentation. Each unexcused absence will result in the loss of your participation grades on that day, and if you miss a class in which you are presenting without a legitimate excuse, you will lose your presentation grades. Excusable absences include severe illness, death in family, or accident; written documents of these unforeseeable events must

be provided to the instructor. Exams submitted after the due date will be graded with a 10% late penalty.

Academic Integrity: Your conduct in this course is expected to conform to the GT Student Honor Code (<https://policylibrary.gatech.edu/student-life/academic-honor-code>). I urge you to consult this for a full definition of your rights and responsibilities.

Learning Accommodations: If needed, we will make classroom accommodations for students with disabilities. These accommodations must be arranged in advance and in accordance with the Office of Disability Services (<http://disabilityservices.gatech.edu>).

Tentative Schedule: This schedule is subject to change.

Week	Date	Topic	Required Readings
1	23-Aug	Introduction to community ecology	Ch. 1.
1	25-Aug	Competition 1	Ch. 2, 3.
2	30-Aug	Competition 1: paper discussion	Gurevitch (1986) Pacala and Roughgarden (1982)
2	1-Sept	Competition 2	Ch. 2, 3.
3	6-Sept	Competition 2: paper discussion	Tilman (1981) Adler et al. (2018)
3	8-Sept	Predation 1	Ch. 4, 5.
4	13-Sept	Predation 1: paper discussion	Paine (1966) Lubchenco (1978) Review: Jia et al. (2018)
4	15-Sept	Predation 2	Ch. 4, 5.
5	20-Sept	Predation 2: paper discussion	Schmitz (2008) Preisser et al. (2005)
5	22-Sept	Beneficial interactions	Ch. 7.
6	27-Sept	Beneficial interactions: paper discussion	Callaway et al. (2002) Palmer et al. (2008)
6	29-Sept	Parasitism Midterm exam assigned	
7	4-Oct	Parasitism: paper discussion	Hudson et al. (1998) Lips et al. (2006)
7	6-Oct	Food webs and ecological networks 1	Ch. 6, Ch. 7: p183-186.
8	11-Oct	Food webs and ecological networks 1: paper discussion	Post et al. (2000) McCann et al. (1998)
8	13-Oct	Food webs and ecological networks 2 Midterm exam due	Ch. 6, Ch. 7: p183-186.
9	18-Oct	No class: fall recess	
9	20-Oct	Food webs and ecological networks 2: paper discussion	Estes et al. (1998) Thebault and Fontaine (2010)
10	25-Oct	Indirect effects	Ch. 8.
10	27-Oct	Indirect effects: paper discussion	Davidson et al. (1984) Band et al. (2022) Review: Wootton (1994) Review: Estes et al. (2011)
11	1-Nov	Spatial dynamics	Ch. 11.
11	3-Nov	Spatial dynamics: paper discussion	Damschen et al. (2019) Losos and Schluter (2000)

			Review: Leibold et al. (2004)
12	8-Nov	Temporal dynamics	Ch. 9 and 13.
12	10-Nov	Temporal dynamics: paper discussion	Chase (2010) Li et al. (2016) Review: Fukami (2016)
13	15-Nov	Biodiversity 1	Ch.12
13	17-Nov	Biodiversity 1: paper discussion	Bell (2001) Levine and HilleRisLambers (2009) Review: Chesson (2000) Review: Adler et al. (2007)
14	22-Nov	Biodiversity 2	Ch. 12
14	24-Nov	No class: Thanksgiving break	
15	29-Nov	Biodiversity 2: paper discussion	Loreau and Hector (2001) Tilman et al. (2006) Review: Tilman et al. (2014)
15	1-Dec	Linking evolution with ecology Research Proposal due Final exam assigned	
16	6-Dec	Linking evolution with ecology: paper discussion	Yoshida et al. (2003) Violle et al. (2011)
17	13-Dec	Final exam due by 5:30 pm, Tuesday	

References:

- Adler, P. B., J. HilleRisLambers, and J. M. Levine. 2007. A niche for neutrality. *Ecology Letters* **10**:95-104.
- Adler, P. B., D. Smull, K. H. Beard, R. T. Choi, T. Furniss, A. Kulmatiski, J. M. Meiners, A. T. Tredennick, and K. E. Veblen. 2018. Competition and coexistence in plant communities: intraspecific competition is stronger than interspecific competition. *Ecology Letters* **21**:1319-1329.
- Bell, G. 2001. Ecology - Neutral macroecology. *Science* **293**:2413-2418.
- Bertness, M. D. and R. Callaway. 1994. Positive interactions in communities. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **9**:191-193.
- Butterfield, B. J. 2009. Effects of facilitation on community stability and dynamics: synthesis and future directions. *Journal of Ecology* **97**:1192-1201.
- Chase, J. M. 2010. Stochastic community assembly causes higher biodiversity in more productive environments. *Science* **328**:1388-1391.
- Chesson, P. 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **31**:343-366.
- Chesson, P. and J. J. Kuang. 2008. The interaction between predation and competition. *Nature* **456**:235-238.
- Davidson, D. W., R. S. Inouye, and J. H. Brown. 1984. Granivory in a desert ecosystem: experimental-evidence for indirect facilitation of ants by rodents. *Ecology* **65**:1780-1786.
- Dyer, L. A. and D. K. Letourneau. 1999. Trophic cascades in a complex terrestrial community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **96**:5072-5076.

- Estes, J. A., J. Terborgh, J. S. Brashares, M. E. Power, J. Berger, W. J. Bond, S. R. Carpenter, T. E. Essington, R. D. Holt, J. B. C. Jackson, R. J. Marquis, L. Oksanen, T. Oksanen, R. T. Paine, E. K. Pikitch, W. J. Ripple, S. A. Sandin, M. Scheffer, T. W. Schoener, J. B. Shurin, A. R. E. Sinclair, M. E. Soule, R. Virtanen, and D. A. Wardle. 2011. Trophic Downgrading of Planet Earth. *Science* **333**:301-306.
- Estes, J. A., M. T. Tinker, T. M. Williams, and D. F. Doak. 1998. Killer whale predation on sea otters linking oceanic and nearshore ecosystems. *Science* **282**:473-476.
- Fukami, T. 2015. Historical contingency in community assembly: integrating niches, species pools, and priority effects. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **46**: 1-23.
- Grilli, J., T. Rogers, and S. Allesina. 2016. Modularity and stability in ecological communities. *Nature Communications* **7**: 12031.
- Gurevitch, J. 1986. Competition and the local-distribution of the grass *Stipa neomexicana*. *Ecology* **67**:46-57.
- Helmus, M. R., D. L. Mahler, and J. B. Losos. 2014. Island biogeography of the Anthropocene. *Nature* **513**:543-+.
- Holyoak, M. and S. P. Lawler. 1996. Persistence of an extinction-prone predator-prey interaction through metapopulation dynamics. *Ecology* **77**:1867-1879.
- Hudson, P. J., A. P. Dobson, and D. Newborn. 1998. Prevention of population cycles by parasite removal. *Science* **282**:2256-2258.
- Inouye, R. S., N. J. Huntly, D. Tilman, J. R. Tester, M. Stillwell, and K. C. Zinnel. 1987. Old-field succession on a minnesota sand plain. *Ecology* **68**:12-26.
- Isbell, F., V. Calcagno, A. Hector, J. Connolly, W. S. Harpole, P. B. Reich, M. Scherer-Lorenzen, B. Schmid, D. Tilman, J. van Ruijven, A. Weigelt, B. J. Wilsey, E. S. Zavaleta, and M. Loreau. 2011. High plant diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. *Nature* **477**:199-U196.
- Jia, S. H., X. G. Wang, Z. Q. Yuan, F. Lin, J. Ye, Z. Q. Hao, and M. S. Luskin. 2018. Global signal of top-down control of terrestrial plant communities by herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**:6237-6242.
- Leibold, M. A., M. Holyoak, N. Mouquet, P. Amarasekare, J. M. Chase, M. F. Hoopes, R. D. Holt, J. B. Shurin, R. Law, D. Tilman, M. Loreau, and A. Gonzalez. 2004. The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community ecology. *Ecology Letters* **7**:601-613.
- Levine, J. M. and J. HilleRisLambers. 2009. The importance of niches for the maintenance of species diversity. *Nature* **461**:254-U130.
- Li, S. P., M. W. Cadotte, S. J. Meiners, Z. C. Pu, T. Fukami, and L. Jiang. 2016. Convergence and divergence in a long-term old-field succession: the importance of spatial scale and species abundance. *Ecology Letters* **19**:1101-1109.
- Lips, K. R., F. Brem, R. Brenes, J. D. Reeve, R. A. Alford, J. Voyles, C. Carey, L. Livo, A. P. Pessier, and J. P. Collins. 2006. Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a Neotropical amphibian community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**:3165-3170.
- Loreau, M. and A. Hector. 2001. Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature* **412**:72-76.
- Lubchenco, J. 1978. Plant species-diversity in a marine inter-tidal community: importance of herbivore food preference and algal competitive abilities. *American Naturalist* **112**:23-39.
- McCann, K., A. Hastings, and G. R. Huxel. 1998. Weak trophic interactions and the balance of nature. *Nature* **395**:794-798.

- Montoya, J. M., G. Woodward, M. C. Emmerson, and R. V. Sole. 2009. Press perturbations and indirect effects in real food webs. *Ecology* **90**:2426-2433.
- Mouquet, N. and M. Loreau. 2003. Community patterns in source-sink metacommunities. *American Naturalist* **162**:544-557.
- Pacala, S. and J. Roughgarden. 1982. Resource partitioning and interspecific competition in two two-species insular anolis lizard communities. *Science* **217**:444-446.
- Paine, R. T. 1966. Food web complexity and species diversity. *American Naturalist* **100**:65-&.
- Palmer, T. M., M. L. Stanton, T. P. Young, J. R. Goheen, R. M. Pringle, and R. Karban. 2008. Breakdown of an ant-plant mutualism follows the loss of large herbivores from an African Savanna. *Science* **319**:192-195.
- Post, D. M., M. L. Pace, and N. G. Hairston. 2000. Ecosystem size determines food-chain length in lakes. *Nature* **405**:1047-1049.
- Preisser, E. L., D. I. Bolnick, and M. F. Benard. 2005. Scared to death? The effects of intimidation and consumption in predator-prey interactions. *Ecology* **86**:501-509.
- Schmitz, O. J. 2008. Effects of predator hunting mode on grassland ecosystem function. *Science* **319**:952-954.
- Thebault, E. and C. Fontaine. 2010. Stability of ecological communities and the architecture of mutualistic and trophic networks. *Science* **329**:853-856.
- Tilman, D. 1981. Tests of resource competition theory using four species of lake-michigan algae. *Ecology* **62**:802-815.
- Tilman, D., F. Isbell, and J. M. Cowles. 2014. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **45**: 471-493.
- Tilman, D., P. B. Reich, and J. M. H. Knops. 2006. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability in a decade-long grassland experiment. *Nature* **441**:629-632.
- Violle, C., D. R. Nemergut, Z. C. Pu, and L. Jiang. 2011. Phylogenetic limiting similarity and competitive exclusion. *Ecology Letters* **14**:782-787.
- Wootton, J. T. 1994. THE NATURE AND CONSEQUENCES OF INDIRECT EFFECTS IN ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **25**:443-466.
- Yoshida, T., L. E. Jones, S. P. Ellner, G. F. Fussmann, and N. G. Hairston. 2003. Rapid evolution drives ecological dynamics in a predator-prey system. *Nature* **424**:303-306.

Date: _____

Evaluation Form for Oral Presentations

Speaker: _____

Paper: _____

Overall score: _____

Scale: 100 90 80 70 60
 Outstanding Excellent Good Fair Inadequate

ITEM	COMMENTS	SCORE
SLIDES (20%): Logic organization of slides and transition among slides, good layout (neither too cluttered nor too spare, no glaring color contrasts), clear readable texts, tables, and figures.		
DELIVERY (20%): Poised and well-rehearsed, good pacing (neither too fast nor too slow), clear voice and good volume, eye contact with audience, stayed on time.		
CONTENT (30%): Did the talk adequately capture the main message of the paper? Did the talk provide sufficient detail on different sections (e.g., introduction, methods, results, and discussion) of the paper?		
LEADING DISCUSSION (30%): Did the speaker ask to-the-point questions? Did these questions stimulate class discussions? Did the speaker do a good job answering questions from the class?		